

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,853	LIN, MING-HSIEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary K Graham	1744	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Gary K Graham.

(3) _____.

 (2) Keith Kline (32,737).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-4

Prior art documents discussed:


Hoffman, Lin, Libman et al

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner discussed closest art and indicated that limitations of claim 2, as well as defining that the transverse bars have a rectangular transverse cross-section, if put into claim 1 would be allowable. Applicant agrees. See Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)